Supplemental Appropriation 23-9 to the FY Operative Budget

I vote against this bill.

- 1. It seems hysterical. The Supreme Court said that each state could decide what it wants to to do about abortion. One can easily obtain an abortion in Maryland. That will remain as long as that is what the majority in Maryland wants. What is the problem?
- 2. There is not even a Needs Assessment done to see if there is any problem, If going to allocate money then should show the need for the money.
- 3. It seems very one sided. It is giving money for Pro-Abortion groups but does not seem to give equally to the Pro-life side.
- 4. I think a bill that gave more support services to women after birth might help those who think of abortion and those who bring their babies to birth. Many think of abortion because know they cannot pay for the immediate needs post birth, e.g. diapers, formula etc.
- 5. If want to spend some money perhaps to give more paid maternity/paternity paid leave after the birth of a child would be good for society.
- 6. How do we show that life is valuable? Is that a value that we hold? I would say to kill an unborn baby shows that life can easily be done away with.
- 7. Although some people think that abortion is morally OK, I say let them pay for it. Can we have a bill that those who want their taxes spent to help mothers after giving birth not be used for abortion but for more support services for new babies and mothers? I do not want my tax money spent for this.

I am against this bill. Let us do a Needs Assessment and see what, if anything ,is needed in Montgomery Co. Maryland to make it even easier for the women who want abortions to obtain them.